

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election (during Prime Time) is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation. Isn't this Electioneering? Isn't this against the law?

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. But when large companies control the airwaves, we get more of what's good for the bottom line and less of what we need for our democracy.

And if it's not against the law, shouldn't Sinclair also be required to air an equally scathing "documentary" debasing the President during Prime time of the same week. Perhaps "Fahrenheit 911" would do!! What do you say, FCC? After all, fair is fair.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.